

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/922,412	08/03/2001	Robert W. Cantwell	131105.1006	7272
·	7590 02/05/200 [.] YNNE SEWELL LLP	,	EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION 3000 THANKSGIVING TOWER 1601 ELM ST		ION	ROBERTS, BRIAN S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX	75201-4761		2616	
	····		-r	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	•		<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	71 -			
	09/922,412	CANTWELL, ROBERT W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian Roberts	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 No	ovember 2006					
·= · ·	action is non-final.					
, —						
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 5-22 is/are pending in the applie	cation.	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 5-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No				
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage			
application from the International Bureau	·		g-			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)	,	(070 440)				
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∭ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F		•			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

- Applicant's Amendment filed on 11/30/2006 is acknowledged.
- Claims 1 and 5-22 remain pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 5-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Athreya et al. (US 7088714) in view of Russell et al. (US 6496519)
 - In reference to claim 1

In Figures 2A and 2B, Athreya et al. teaches a switch (66, 64) having a plurality of ports for receiving Ethernet framed data from a plurality of ports and switching the data to a plurality of ports, each Ethernet frame of data including a header information, the switch (66, 64) operable to insert without removing any existing header information a VLAN ID (unique port identifier) into a predefined header field of the Ethernet frames of the data from each port to identify the port from which the data is received. (column 4 lines 62 – column 5 line 21)

Athreya et al. does not teach a multiplexer coupled to the switch and operable to multiplex the data frames from the plurality of ports into a single serial data stream, the

Art Unit: 2616

multiplexer being operable to multiplex the data from the plurality of ports into a single synchronous payload envelope.

In Figures 1 and 9, Russell et al. teaches a multiplexer (100; 101; 903) coupled to a switch (103; 104; 904) and operable to multiplex Ethernet data frames from a plurality of Ethernet ports into a single serial data stream, the multiplexer (100; 101; 903) being operable to multiplex the Ethernet frames from the plurality of Ethernet ports into one or more SDH or SONET payloads. (column 5 line 48 – column 6 line 64; column 9 lines 26-42)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system and method of Athreya et al. to include multiplexing a plurality of Ethernet frames from a plurality of Ethernet ports into SONET frames at a SONET multiplexer, transmitting the SONET frames over a SONET network, demultiplexing the SONET frames into plurality of Ethernet frames from a plurality of Ethernet ports at a SONET demultiplexer as taught by Russell et al. because it allows Ethernet frames to be transmitted at a high rate over a SONET backbone network.

- In reference to claim 5

The combination of Athreya et al. and Russell et al. teaches a system and method that covers substantially all limitations of the parent claims. In Figures 2A and 2B, Athreya et al. teaches a switch (66, 64) that routes the data based on the VLAN ID (unique port identifier). (column 4 lines 62 – column 5 line 21)

Art Unit: 2616

Athreya et al. does not explicitly teach a subscriber access multiplexer operable to receive the single serial data stream from the multiplexer, demultiplex the serial data stream into data from each port.

In Figures 1 and 9, Russell et al. teaches a subscriber access multiplexer (103; 104; 904) operable to receive the single serial data stream from the multiplexer (100; 101; 903), demultiplex the serial data stream into data from each port. (column 5 line 48 – column 6 line 64; column 9 lines 26-42)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system and method of Athreya et al. to include multiplexing a plurality of Ethernet frames from a plurality of Ethernet ports into SONET frames at a SONET multiplexer, transmitting the SONET frames over a SONET network, demultiplexing the SONET frames into plurality of Ethernet frames from a plurality of Ethernet ports at a SONET demultiplexer as taught by Russell et al. because it allows Ethernet frames to be transmitted at a high rate over a SONET backbone network.

In reference to claim 6

In Figure 2A and 2B, Athreya et al. teaches a switch (66, 64) having a plurality of ports for receiving Ethernet framed data from a plurality of ports and switching the data to a plurality of ports, each Ethernet frame of data including a header information, the switch (66, 64) operable to insert without removing any existing header information a VLAN ID (unique port identifier) into a predefined header field of Ethernet frames of the data from each port to identify the port from which the data is received; wherein the data

Art Unit: 2616

includes data in Ethernet data frames and the predefined header field includes a virtual LAN field. (column 4 lines 62 – column 5 line 21)

Athreya et al. does not explicitly teach a multiplexer coupled to the switch and operable to multiplex the Ethernet data frames from the plurality of ports into a single serial data stream, the multiplexer being operable to multiplex the Ethernet data from the plurality of ports into a single synchronous payload envelope

In Figures 1 and 9, Russell et al. teaches a multiplexer (100; 101; 903) coupled to a switch (103; 104; 904) and operable to multiplex Ethernet data frames from a plurality of Ethernet ports into a single serial data stream, the multiplexer (100; 101; 903) being operable to multiplex the Ethernet frames from the plurality of Ethernet ports into one or more SDH or SONET payloads. (column 5 line 48 – column 6 line 64; column 9 lines 26-42)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system and method of Athreya et al. to include multiplexing a plurality of Ethernet frames from a plurality of Ethernet ports into SONET frames at a SONET multiplexer, transmitting the SONET frames over a SONET network, demultiplexing the SONET frames into plurality of Ethernet frames from a plurality of Ethernet ports at a SONET demultiplexer as taught by Russell et al. because it allows Ethernet frames to be transmitted at a high rate over a SONET backbone network.

In reference to claim 7

Art Unit: 2616

The combination of Athreya et al. and Russell et al. teaches a system and method that covers substantially all limitations of the parent claims. In Figure 2A and 2B, Athreya et al. further teaches a subscriber access multiplexer (66, 64) operable to receive data from a plurality of sender nodes (52, 54, 56) in a network and operable to insert the VLAN ID (unique port identifier) based on an IP address of the sender node of the data, and multiplex the data into a single serial data stream; and the switch (66, 64) being operable to switch the demultiplexed data based on the VLAN ID (unique port identifier) to the plurality of ports. (column 4 lines 62 – column 5 line 21)

Athreya et al. does not explicitly teach a multiplexer being operable to receive the single serial data stream from the subscriber access multiplexer and demultiplex the data.

In Figures 1 and 9, Russell et al. teaches a multiplexer (100; 101; 903) operable to receive the single serial data stream from a subscriber access multiplexer (103; 104; 904) demultiplex the serial data stream into data from each port. (column 5 line 48 – column 6 line 64; column 9 lines 26-42)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system and method of Athreya et al. to include multiplexing a plurality of Ethernet frames from a plurality of Ethernet ports into SONET frames at a SONET multiplexer, transmitting the SONET frames over a SONET network, demultiplexing the SONET frames into plurality of Ethernet frames from a plurality of Ethernet ports at a SONET demultiplexer as taught by Russell et al. because it allows Ethernet frames to be transmitted at a high rate over a SONET backbone network.

Art Unit: 2616

In reference to claim 8

The combination of Athreya et al. and Russell et al. teaches a system and method that covers substantially all limitations of the parent claims. In Figure 2A and 2B, Athreya et al. teaches a subscriber access multiplexer (66, 64) operable to receive the single serial data stream from the multiplexer and route the Ethernet data to a destination network node based on the VLAN ID (unique port identifier), a MAC address and IP address in the data. (column 4 lines 62 – column 5 line 21)

- In reference to claim 9, 11

In Figure 2A and 2B, Athreya et al. teaches receiving Ethernet framed data from a plurality of ports, each Ethernet frame of data include header information; adding a VLAN ID (unique port identifier) to the header information in the Ethernet frames of data from each port, without removing header information, in order to identify the port from which the data came. (column 4 lines 62 – column 5 line 21)

Athreya et al. does not explicitly teach multiplexing the data from the plurality of ports into a single synchronous payload envelope for transmission by synchronous transmission medium.

In Figures 1 and 9, Russell et al. teaches a multiplexer (100; 101; 903) coupled to a switch (103; 104; 904) and operable to multiplex Ethernet data frames from a plurality of Ethernet ports into a single serial data stream, the multiplexer (100; 101; 903) being operable to multiplex the Ethernet frames from the plurality of Ethernet ports

Art Unit: 2616

into one or more SDH or SONET payloads. (column 5 line 48 – column 6 line 64; column 9 lines 26-42)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system and method of Athreya et al. to include multiplexing a plurality of Ethernet frames from a plurality of Ethernet ports into SONET frames at a SONET multiplexer, transmitting the SONET frames over a SONET network, demultiplexing the SONET frames into plurality of Ethernet frames from a plurality of Ethernet ports at a SONET demultiplexer as taught by Russell et al. because it allows Ethernet frames to be transmitted at a high rate over a SONET backbone network.

- In reference to claim 10

The combination of Athreya et al. and Russell et al. teaches a system and method that covers substantially all limitations of the parent claims. In Figure 2A and 2B, Athreya et al. teaches receiving data comprises receiving data from a plurality of Ethernet ports. (column 4 lines 62 – column 5 line 21)

- In reference to claim 12-16, and 17-22

In Figure 2A and 2B, Athreya et al. teaches receiving flamed data from a plurality of ports, each Ethernet frame of data including header information; adding a VLAN ID (unique port identifier) based on an IP address of the sender node of the data to the header information in the frames of data from each port to identify the port from which the data came; wherein adding the unique port identifier comprises inserting the unique

Art Unit: 2616

port identifier into a VID field of a tagged MAC frame of the data; and switching demultiplexed Ethernet data from each port based on the unique port identifier, a MAC address and IP address in the Ethernet data. (column 4 lines 62 – column 5 line 21)

Athreya et al. does not explicitly teach multiplexing the data from the plurality of ports into a single synchronous payload envelope for transmission by synchronous transmission medium; converting the single serial data stream into SONET optical signals for transmission; and receiving the single serial data stream; demultiplexing the single serial data stream into data from each port

In Figures 1 and 9, Russell et al. teaches a multiplexer (100; 101; 903) coupled to a switch (103; 104; 904) and operable to multiplex Ethernet data frames from a plurality of Ethernet ports into a single serial data stream, the multiplexer (100; 101; 903) being operable to multiplex the Ethernet frames from the plurality of Ethernet ports into one or more SDH or SONET payloads. In Figures 1 and 9, Russell et al. further teaches receiving the SONET payloads; demultiplexing the SONET payloads into data from each port. (column 5 line 48 – column 6 line 64; column 9 lines 26-42)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system and method of Athreya et al. to include multiplexing a plurality of Ethernet frames from a plurality of Ethernet ports into SONET frames at a SONET multiplexer, transmitting the SONET frames over a SONET network, demultiplexing the SONET frames into plurality of Ethernet frames from a plurality of Ethernet ports at a SONET demultiplexer as taught by Russell et al. because it allows Ethernet frames to be transmitted at a high rate over a SONET backbone network.

Application/Control Number: 09/922,412 Page 10

Art Unit: 2616

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the independent claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure are:
 - Yu (US 2001/0043603) teaches an interfacing apparatus and method for adapting Ethernet directly to physical channel.
 - Kong et al. (US 2002/0176450) teaches a system and method for selectively transmitting Ethernet traffic over SONET/SDH optical network.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/922,412 Page 11

Art Unit: 2616

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Roberts whose telephone number is (571) 272-3095. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00-7:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BSR 01/27/2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600